

**Name of meeting: Calderdale and Kirklees Joint Health Scrutiny Committee**

**Date: 22 March 2016**

**Title of report: Clinical Senate Review of the Future Model of Hospital Services and NHS England Assurance Process.**

Is it likely to result in spending or saving £250k or more, or to have a significant effect on two or more electoral wards?	No
Is it in the <a href="#">Council's Forward Plan</a> ?	No
Is it eligible for "call in" by <a href="#">Scrutiny</a> ?	No
<p>Date signed off by <u>Director</u> &amp; name</p> <p>Is it signed off by the Director of Resources?</p> <p>Is it signed off by the Acting Assistant Director - Legal &amp; Governance?</p>	No – The report has been produced to provide the context to the Committee discussions with the Clinical Senate, NHS England, Calderdale and Greater Huddersfield CCG's and other key health stakeholders.
Cabinet member <a href="#">portfolio</a>	Prevention, Early Intervention and Vulnerable Adults

Electoral [wards](#) affected: All

Ward councillors consulted: N/A

Public or private: Public

## 1. Purpose of report

1.1 To provide members of the Calderdale and Kirklees Joint Health Scrutiny Committee (JHSC) with the context to the discussions with the Clinical Senate, NHS England, Calderdale and Greater Huddersfield Clinical Commissioning Groups and other key health stakeholders on the proposals for the future provision of hospital services in Calderdale and Greater Huddersfield.

## 2. Key Points

2.1 Clinical Senates are independent non statutory bodies hosted by NHS England. Clinical Senate reviews are designed to ensure that proposals for large scale change and reconfiguration of health services are sound

and evidence based, in the best interests of patients and will improve the quality, safety and sustainability of care.

- 2.2 Calderdale CCG and Greater Huddersfield CCG have engaged with the Yorkshire and Humber Clinical Senate and asked them to consider the work they have developed on hospital standards and to provide an assessment of the proposed future model of care for hospital services in Calderdale and Greater Huddersfield.
  - 2.3 The Clinical Senate has undertaken a review of the proposals and its findings have been published in the report '*Clinical Senate Review of the Future Model of Hospital Services for Calderdale and Greater Huddersfield CCG's*' which is included as appendix C in the Right Care, Right Time, Right Place Pre-Consultation Business Case (PCBC).
  - 2.4 Professor Chris Welsh, Senate Chair will be in attendance to provide an overview of the Clinical Senates review of the proposals and outline its position on the CCG's future model of care for hospital services.
  - 2.5 All health service change proposals are expected to comply with the Department of Health's four tests for service change which are:
    - Strong public and patient engagement;
    - Consistency with current and prospective need for patient choice;
    - A clear clinical evidence base; and
    - Support for proposals from Clinical commissioners.
  - 2.6 For significant service changes, NHS England operates an assurance process where they provide support and guidance to Commissioners so that they can demonstrate compliance with the four tests and other best practice checks. The assurance process concludes with an assurance checkpoint at which time NHS England provide a recommendation regarding whether Commissioners are ready to proceed to consultation.
  - 2.7 NHS England has confirmed that it is assured that the CCG's have met the 4 key tests, and that the CCG's are in a position to commence a consultation exercise on the future model of service delivery as outlined in the PCBC.
  - 2.8 NHS England will be in attendance to outline its role in the assurance process; to explain on what basis it has approved that the proposals move forward to consultation; its view on the sustainability of the proposals; and how the proposals link to the national context of transforming urgent and emergency care services in England.
- 3. Implications for the Council**  
This is a report for information.
- 4. Consultees and their opinions**  
Not applicable

**5. Next steps**

That the JHSC takes account of the information presented and considers the next steps it wishes to take.

**6. Officer recommendations and reasons**

That the JHSC considers the information provided and determines if any further information or action is required.

**7. Cabinet portfolio holder recommendation**

Not applicable

**8. Contact officer and relevant papers**

Richard Dunne, Principal Governance & Democratic Engagement Officer, Tel: 01484 221000 E-mail: [richard.dunne@kirklees.gov.uk](mailto:richard.dunne@kirklees.gov.uk)

**9. Assistant Director responsible**

Julie Muscroft, Assistant Director: Legal, Governance & Monitoring